Growth Study of Cancer within Organ through the Models on Stochastic Non-linear Programming

TIRUPATHI RAO PADI¹, JAYABHARATHIRAJ JAYABALAN²

Department of Statistics, Pondicherry University, Puducherry - 605 014, India.

Email: drtrpadi@gmail.com

Received: October 27, 2014 Revised: September 27, 2015 Accepted: February 11, 2016

Published online: March 30, 2016

The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at www.chitkara.edu.in/publications

Abstract The growth and loss of cancer cell population within an organ are influenced by their birth, death and migration processes. These issues may be observed during the presence and absence of chemotherapy also. In this paper, stochastic non-linear programming problems were formulated for getting the decision parameters on growth and loss of cancer cells in an organ subject to the constraints of its related health indicators. While formulating the objective function and subjective constraints based on the derived statistical measures in the works of Tirupathi Rao et. al. [9,10]. The decision parameters of the developed programming problem are predicted and analyzed the dynamics of cancer cell size in different situations.

Keywords: Stochastic Non-linear Programming, Cancer Growth, Birth-death and migration processes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Tumor comprises of normal and malignant cells. These cells in tumor may undergo the processes of mitosis to increase their off springs. The process of cell reproduction in an organ of any living body is regulated with its genetical instructions. Environmental conditions, food habits, culture and living styles, etc of an individual along with usual mechanism of cell division are also playing vital role in such instructions. Destruction and reconstruction of normal cells are getting the changing patterns due to the influence of continuous modifying cells. Experimental studies have more advantages for predicting the cell behaviour of growth, transforming and loss protocols. Contrary they have many practical limitations due to cost and other feasibilities. Mathematical modelling studies are the meaningful alternatives to handle the said objectives. The measures like optimal sizes of different cells subject to the wanted health

Mathematical Journal of Interdisciplinary Sciences Vol. 4, No. 2, March 2016 pp. 183–196



standards of the patient can be derived by proper modelling of physiological and genetical phenomena of cells with the frame work of mathematical theory. Counting processes are some prominent mathematical tools to study the mechanism of cell's proliferation and their consequent behaviours.

On the other hand, predictions with mathematical modelling may provide the indicators in more deterministic environment. In practice, most of the processes are influenced by random and chance causes. Hence the model construction should be stochastic rather than certainty. Statistical measures basing on the stochastic models will provide the most relevant picture about the prevailing conditions on growth, loss and transformation of cell processes. These measures shall be utilised for developing the programming problems with objectives of efficient health management. Usual cell division process involves the reproducing of new cells to compensate the wear and tear of the existing cells due to several reason. However the newly introduced cell volume should always be within the range of spoiled cells. This regulating mechanism is the failure issue with respect to cancer causing cells. The study deals with formulation of optimization programming problems with the objective of exploring the decision parameters, which regulates the cancer growth and loss dynamics.

The dynamics of metastasis processes from the tumor are observed in secondary sites is studied through a mathematical model [1]. Computation of two stage and multi stage continuous time dependent tumor incidence rates can be done with stochastic models [2,3,7]. Growth of cancer cells, formation of metastasis and the growth behaviour of age dependent cancer were studied with stochastic models [4,5,6]. The metastatic progression of cancer cells in the secondary site under the treatment of chemotherapy is assessed through computational mathematical models [8]. Optimal drug administration procedures, including two stage and three stage cancer chemotherapy are designed with stochastic programming models [9,10,11,12].

In all the above models the growth/ loss processes of different types of cells are assessed with pure and linear birth, death processes for two/three stage dependent cancer growth. However, the spread/ invasion of cancer cells during their initial formation and at the stage of metastasis is also influenced by their migration and expansion of their colonies in new sites. This study considered developed stochastic models on spread of cancer cell growth by using linear birth, death and migration processes [9,10]. The study formulated stochastic programming problems for exploring the optimal decision parameters of growth, loss and invasion rates of cancer cells during normal and chemotherapy periods. All the developed stochastic programming problems are designed with multiple objectives such as minimizing the average number of

cancer causing cells, maximizing the average number of healthy/ normal cells, maximizing the variability of cancer causing cells, minimizing the variability of healthy cells, etc. They have common constraints such as expected number of normal cells should be in wanted threshold limits; the expected number of cancer causing cells should not exceed specific danger limit, the variance of normal cells must be in closed range, the variance of cancer causing cells should be in broader range.

Growth Study of Cancer within Organ through the Models on Stochastic Nonlinear Programming

The above developed problems have the limitations that they are formulated with the assumptions of handling the multiple problems independently and separately. However, the growth/ loss processes of different types of cells will be happened simultaneously. Keeping this research gap in mind, some stochastic non linear programming problems were formulated with the ratio of average normal and average malignant cells. Hence these problems will deal the sizes of different type of cells among cancer patients. The concept of migration/invasion of cancer cells among the neighbouring parts of the organ in the body, particularly during metastasis is considered unlike previous studies. These models have further discussed the behaviour of cancer growth during drug absence and cancer restriction during drug administration.

2. MULTI-OBJECTIVE PROGRAMMING PROBLEMS

The statistical measures derived in the generalised stochastic model and particular cases with respect to chemotherapy are used to develop non-linear programming problems. The objective is to predict the decision parameters involved in the dynamics of cancer tumor, such way that those decision parameters will leads to optimise the given objective functions. In this context, the study proposed some stochastic programming problems with various constraints.

The mean and variance counts of normal and malignant cells in an organ are considered, which were derived through the study referred in Tirupathi Rao et al [10]. Among healthy people, the average size of normal cells is more than average size of malignant cells in any organ. When the conversion process of normal cells to malignant cells is initiated, the situation will be irreversible and the healthy person will be transformed to a cancer patient. Once the malignancy formation was confirmed, the growth of such cancerous cells will be at faster rate.

Considering the notations used in Tirupathi Rao et.al in [10] as

 λ_{11} : Growth rate of normal staged cells in the primary tumor

 λ_{21} : Growth rate of malignant staged cells in the primary tumor

 λ_{32} : Growth rate of migrant malignant staged cells in the secondary tumor

 μ_{11} : Loss rate of normal staged cells in the primary tumor

 μ_{21} : Loss rate of malignant staged cells in the primary tumor

 μ_{32} : Loss rate of migrant malignant staged cells in the secondary tumor

 δ_{11} : Transformation rate of normal staged cells into the malignant stage cells in the primary tumor

 δ_{21} : Migration rate of malignant staged cells in the primary tumor to the secondary tumor

 δ_{32} : Emigration rate of immigrant malignant staged cells in the secondary tumor to other parts

N_o: Initial number of normal staged cells (in the primary Tumor)

M₀: Initial number of malignant staged cells (in the primary Tumor)

The status of health is considered to be under control as long as the average number of normal cells is more than average number of malignant cells. In other words the ratio of average normal cells to average malignant cells shall be more than unity. However, the situation seems to be alarmed when the ratio is less than unity.

Let
$$E_1$$
 be that ratio defined as $E_1 = \frac{\text{Average size of Normal Cells}}{\text{Average size of Malignant Cells}} > 1$

The objective function is to Maximize R_{E1} , where

$$R_{E1} = \left\{ \left[N_0 e^{At} \right] / \left[\frac{\delta_{11} N_0 e^{At}}{A - B} + \left(M_0 - \frac{\delta_{11} N_0}{A - B} \right) e^{Bt} \right] \right\}$$

The fluctuations in the average number of normal cells in any organ shall be low as it indicates the status of healthy cells is consistent. Hence less volatility in the average number of normal cells is wanted. Contrary to the above situation, consistency in the growth of malignant cells is a threat to survival of the patient. Thus more fluctuations among the average number of malignant cells is a welcoming situation. Hence, more volatility in the average number of malignant cells is wanted. This study has proposed the second programming problem based on the objective of the ratio between variance of normal cells on variance of malignant cells.

$$E_2 = \frac{\text{Variance of normal cells at a point of time}}{\text{Variance of malignant cells at a point of time}} < 1$$

The objective Function is to Minimize R_{E2} , where

$$R_{E2} = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta_{11}N_{0}e^{At}}{A-2B} + (F+2E) \\ \times \begin{cases} \frac{\delta_{11}N_{0}e^{At}}{(A-2B)(A-B)} \\ -\left(M_{0} - \frac{\delta_{11}N_{0}}{A-B}\right)\frac{e^{Bt}}{B} \end{cases} \\ + \frac{\delta_{11}^{2}N_{0}D}{A} \left(\frac{e^{2At}}{2(A-B)^{2}} + \frac{e^{At}}{(A-2B)B}\right) \\ - \left(\frac{\delta_{11}(E-\delta_{11})N_{0}e^{At}}{(A-2B)B} - \left(\frac{\delta_{11}N_{0}D - (A-B)(E-\delta_{11})N_{0}}{(A-B)B}\right) \right) \\ \times \frac{\delta_{11}e^{(A+B)t}}{(A-B)} - \frac{\delta_{11}N_{0}e^{2Bt}}{(A-2B)} \\ + (F+2E)e^{2Bt} \left(\frac{\delta_{11}N_{0} - (A-2B)M_{0}}{2(A-2B)(A-B)^{2}B}\right) \\ + \delta_{11}^{2}N_{0}e^{2Bt} \left(\frac{2(A-B)(\delta_{11}-E) + D\delta_{11}}{2(A-2B)(A-B)^{2}}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

Growth Study of Cancer within Organ through the Models on Stochastic Nonlinear Programming

For healthy maintenance of average number of normal cells over average number of malignant cells, the constraints that are to be satisfied are

$$\left[N_{0} e^{At}\right] > \left[\frac{\delta_{11} N_{0} e^{At}}{A - B} + \left(M_{0} - \frac{\delta_{11} N_{0}}{A - B}\right) e^{Bt}\right]$$

and

$$\begin{split} &\left| \frac{\delta_{11} N_0 e^{At}}{A - 2B} + (F + 2E) \right| \frac{\delta_{11} N_0 e^{At}}{(A - 2B)(A - B)} \\ &- \left[M_0 - \frac{\delta_{11} N_0}{A - B} \right] \frac{e^{Bt}}{B} \\ &+ \frac{\delta_{11}^2 N_0 D}{A} \left(\frac{e^{2At}}{2(A - B)^2} + \frac{e^{At}}{(A - 2B)B} \right) \\ &\left[\frac{DN_0 e^{At}}{A} \left(e^{At} - 1 \right) \right] < \frac{-\frac{\delta_{11} (E - \delta_{11}) N_0 e^{At}}{(A - 2B)B}}{(A - 2B)B} \\ &- \left(\frac{\delta_{11} N_0 D - \left(A - B \right) \left(E - \delta_{11} \right) N_0}{(A - B)B} \right) \frac{\delta_{11} e^{(A + B)t}}{(A - B)} \\ &- \frac{\delta_{11} N_0 e^{2Bt}}{(A - 2B)} + (F + 2E) e^{2Bt} \left(\frac{\delta_{11} N_0 - (A - 2B) M_0}{2(A - 2B)(A - B)^2 B} \right) \\ &+ \delta_{11}^2 N_0 e^{2Bt} \left(\frac{2(A - B)(\delta_{11} - E) + D\delta_{11}}{2(A - 2B)(A - B)^2} \right) \end{split}$$

The fluctuations in growth of average number of normal cells are also harmful to the health. Therefore the growth of normal cells should be maintained with consistency. The average size of normal cells should be within threshold limits. Similarly consistent growth of malignant cells also threat to the health. For the above consideration we have the following constraints

$$\begin{split} &C_1 \leq N_0 \, e^{At} \leq C_2 \\ &\left[\frac{\delta_{11} N_0 e^{At}}{A - B} + \left(M_0 - \frac{\delta_{11} N_0}{A - B} \right) e^{Bt} \right] \leq C_3 \\ &\left[\frac{D N_0 e^{At}}{A} \left(e^{At} - 1 \right) \right] \leq C_4 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\left[\frac{\delta_{11}N_{0}e^{At}}{A-2B} + (F+2E) \left\{ \frac{\delta_{11}N_{0}e^{At}}{(A-2B)(A-B)} - \left(M_{0} - \frac{\delta_{11}N_{0}}{A-B}\right) \frac{e^{Bt}}{B} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\delta_{11}^{2}N_{0}D}{A} \left(\frac{e^{2At}}{2(A-B)^{2}} + \frac{e^{At}}{(A-2B)B} \right) \\ &- \frac{\delta_{11}(E-\delta_{11})N_{0}e^{At}}{(A-2B)B} \\ &- \left(\frac{\delta_{11}N_{0}D - \left(A-B\right)\left(E-\delta_{11}\right)N_{0}}{(A-B)B} \right) \frac{\delta_{11}e^{(A+B)t}}{(A-B)} - \frac{\delta_{11}N_{0}e^{2Bt}}{(A-2B)} \\ &- (F+2E)e^{2Bt} \left(\frac{\delta_{11}N_{0} - (A-2B)M_{0}}{2(A-2B)(A-B)^{2}B} \right) \\ &- \delta_{11}^{2}N_{0}e^{2Bt} \left(\frac{2(A-B)(\delta_{11}-E) + D\delta_{11}}{2(A-2B)(A-B)^{2}} \right) \end{split}$$

Growth Study of Cancer within Organ through the Models on Stochastic Nonlinear Programming

 $\geq C_5$

where

C₁, C₂ - lower and upper threshold limits of normal cells

 C_3 - warning limit on the average size of malignant cells

 $\mathrm{C_4}$ - upper allowable limits on the volatility of normal cells

 $C_{\scriptscriptstyle 5}$ - wanted lower limit on the volatility of malignant cells

$$\mathbf{A} = \lambda_{11} - \delta_{11} - \mu_{11}; \qquad \quad \mathbf{B} = \lambda_{21} - \mu_{21} - \delta_{21}; \qquad \quad \mathbf{D} = \lambda_{11} + \delta_{11} + \mu_{11};$$

$$\begin{split} E &= \lambda_{32} - \mu_{32} - \delta_{32}; \quad F = \lambda_{21} + \mu_{21} + \delta_{21}; \quad \text{The non negative decision} \\ \text{parameters under study are } \lambda_{11} &\geq 0, \, \delta_{21} \geq 0, \, \lambda_{21} \geq 0, \, \lambda_{32} \geq 0, \, \mu_{11} \geq 0, \, \mu_{21} \geq 0, \\ \delta_{21} &\geq 0, \, \mu_{32} \geq 0, \, \delta_{32} \geq 0. \end{split}$$
 The numerical results for the developed problem have been solved using Lingo 8.0 and it is presented in the appendix I & II.

2.1. Special Case

For the homogeneous processes, the growth and loss rate of cells are assumed to be constant and usually growth rate of malignant cells is more during vacation period than regimen period, and loss rate of malignant cells is more during

regimen period than vacation period. In order to study the effects of drug in between regimen period and vacation period, a linear function is defined using loss and growth rates of cells at various stages.

The growth and loss rate of cells during the drug administration period and vacation periods are considered to be rational decision parameters. The drug effectiveness on the tumor is evaluated through a scalar quantity $\{a_k\}$ defined in between 0 and 1. The growth, migration/transformation and loss rate of cells

can be represented as
$$\lambda_{ij} = \left[a_k \lambda_{ijl} + \left(1 - a_k\right) \lambda_{ijl}\right], \delta_{ij} = \left[a_k \delta_{ijl} + \left(1 - a_k\right) \delta_{ijl}\right]$$
 and $\mu_{ij} = \left[a_k \mu_{ijl} + \left(1 - a_k\right) \mu_{ijl}\right]$ respectively, where i= 1, 2, 3: cells at normal

stage, cells at malignant stage, cells at migrant malignant stage, j=1, 2: primary tumor, secondary tumor, l=0, 1: drug absence, drug presence. In this approach, Tirupathi Rao et al [9] developed a model for this special case in the form of PDE is as follows,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial k\left(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v};t\right)}{\partial t} &= \begin{cases} -\left[\left(a_{1}\lambda_{111} + (1-a_{1})\lambda_{110}\right) + \left(a_{2}\delta_{111} + (1-a_{2})\delta_{110}\right)\right] \\ + \left(a_{5}\mu_{111} + (1-a_{5})\mu_{110}\right) e^{-\mathbf{u}} + \left(a_{1}\lambda_{111} + (1-a_{1})\lambda_{110}\right) e^{\mathbf{u}} \\ + \left(a_{2}\delta_{111} + (1-a_{2})\delta_{110}\right) e^{-\mathbf{u}} + \left(a_{1}\lambda_{111} + (1-a_{6})\mu_{210}\right) \\ + \left\{-\left[\left(a_{3}\lambda_{211} + (1-a_{3})\lambda_{210}\right) + \left(a_{6}\mu_{211} + (1-a_{6})\mu_{210}\right)\right] \\ + \left(a_{3}\lambda_{211} + (1-a_{3})\lambda_{210}\right) e^{\mathbf{v}} + \left[\left(a_{6}\mu_{211} + (1-a_{6})\mu_{210}\right) \\ + \left(a_{7}\delta_{211} + (1-a_{7})\delta_{210}\right)\right] e^{-\mathbf{v}} \right\} \frac{\partial \mathbf{k}\left(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v};t\right)}{\partial \mathbf{v}} \\ - \left[\left(a_{4}\lambda_{321} + (1-a_{4})\lambda_{320}\right) + \left(a_{8}\mu_{321} + (1-a_{8})\mu_{320}\right) \\ + \left(a_{9}\delta_{321} + (1-a_{9})\delta_{320}\right) + \left(a_{9}\delta_{321} + (1-a_{9})\delta_{320}\right)\right] e^{-\mathbf{v}} \\ + \left(a_{4}\lambda_{321} + (1-a_{4})\lambda_{320}\right) e^{\mathbf{v}} \end{split}$$

For the above model, moments were derived [9]. Multi-objective programming problem similar to the earlier problem is constructed to predict the decision parameter as defined above. Let and be the ratio average number of normal to the average number malignant stage cells and the ratio of variance of

number of normal cells and variance number of malignant stage cells in an organ respectively. The objective function in this context is to maximise and minimise, subject to the similar type of constraint as in (2). A numerical result for this programming problem is illustrated in the appendix III & IV.

Growth Study of Cancer within Organ through the Models on Stochastic Nonlinear Programming

3. RESULTS

The results in appendix-I reveals that $R_{E1} > 1$ and it is an increasing function of N_0 & t, decreasing function of M_0 & C_1 in an organ when all the other parameters are constant. The Parameter, λ_{11} is an increasing function of N_0 and decreasing function of C_1 ; λ_{32} is decreasing function of C_1 ; μ_{11} is an increasing function of N_0 , decreasing function of N_0 , decreasing function of N_0 and other parameters are constant.

Appendix II shows that $R_{E2} < 1$ and it is an increasing function of N_0 , decreasing function of C_4 ; μ_{11} is an increasing with N_0 & M_0 , decreasing function of C_3 , t; λ_{21} is decreasing function of N_0 & M_0 , increasing function of C_3 ; δ_{21} is decreasing function of N_0 , increasing function of M_0 & C_1 ; μ_{21} is an increasing function of C_1 and decreasing function of t; δ_{21} is an increasing function of N_0 & M_0 ; λ_{32} is decreasing function of M_0 ; δ_{32} is decreasing function of M_0 and increasing function of C_5 when all other parameters are constant.

From appendix III, it is observed that $R_{E1}^* > 1$ and it is an increasing function of N_0 & C_3 and decreasing function of M_0 , C_1 and t in an organ when all other parameters are constant. The parameter, μ_{11} is an increasing function of N_0 and decreasing function of C_1 when all other parameters are constant.

Results in appendix IV implies that $R_{E2}^* < 1$ and it is an increasing function of M_0 and decreasing function of C_1 , C_5 & t in an organ when all other parameters are constant. The parameter, μ_{11} is an increasing function of M_0 & M_0 & M_0 and decreasing function of M_0 and decreasing function of M_0 and decreasing function of M_0 and increasing function of M_0 and M_0 are such as M_0 and increasing function of M_0 are such as M_0 and increasing function of M_0 are such as M_0 and increasing function of M_0 and M_0 are such as M_0 and M_0 are such a

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the sponsoring agency SERB, DST, Govt. of India as this study is a part of their major research project.

Appendix-I Table 1: Values of R_{E1} , λ_{11} , λ_{21} , μ_{11} , δ_{11} , μ_{21} , δ_{21} , λ_{32} , μ_{32} , δ_{32} for Varying values of one value of the following No, Mo, C_1 , C_2 , C_3 , C_4 , C_5 , t when other parameters are constants

833	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9950450	4264431	7077377	711116
H,	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9950450	4264431	7977364	1001101
\ \ ''''	1826027	75389540	430525.8	2833140	48579460	15266270	13073250	10491720	7796039	4043522	6273374	8062127	6074350	11857580	22188730	4.55E+08	39746710	29215330	41056450	4629429	3049827	3058432	3080123	4588596	5307245	2590724	1420050	3732372	4771071	3231027	637595.5	1363881	651047.1	1046750	1060308	0	0	0	
δ,	1827231	4979865	111603700	2833140	31076910	3854858	4341611	2652746	1979962	4002428	6276458	0.097606	6072130	2971370	371951.2	5.526815	2536449	1874821	2753768	4627822	788425	790551.3	795402.6	1172187	1352243	6.860/99	0.103996	949069.2	4764891	3229353	637737.3	1364092	651212.7	1047101	1060601	0.298588	0.262704	988688	0000000
, M	18272310	75406990	4262401	2833140	31016830	3854858	4341551	2652746	1979962	4002428	6275458	8108679	6072124	2871370	371803.8	68.82262	2536455	1874842	2753775	4627828	788424.9	790551	795402.6	1172187	1352243	0.397108	1417195	0.471694	0.118173	3229353	637737.5	1364092	651212.9	1047101	1060601	0.161437	0.160153	0.051818	0.01.00.0
δ,,	36544620	75407000	1.12E+08	5666278	62153740	7709715	8683161	5305491	3959923	8004854	12552920	8108677	12144250	5942739	743803.9	73.07846	5072903	37495440	5507542	9255649	1576849	1581102	1590804	2344374	2704485	66709.5	1417195	949068.9	4764890	6458706	1275474	2728183	1302425	2094202	2121201	0	0	0	
h.	321	0 10.581	0 11.447	11.867	0 12.070	9.333	9.333	9.333	9.333	9.333	1.667	6.187	5.642	3.598	3.410	1.300	1.300	1.300	1.300	1.300	0.988	886.0	886.0	886.0	886.0	0.840	-	-	\rightarrow	-	\vdash	0.840	\vdash	0.840	0.840	0.389	0.354	1 0 324	-
7	8.882 0	9.105 0	9.850 0	10.211 0	10.386 0	8.033 0	8.033 0	8.033 0	8.033 0	8.033 0	6.403 0	4.963 0	4.436 0	2.478 0	2.301 0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.149 0	0.149 0	0.149 0	0.149 0	0.149 0	0.000 0	0.000 0	0.106 0	0.000	0.126 0	0.000	0.000 0	0.000	0.000 0	0.000 0	0.000 0	0.000 0	0 000 0	+
R	180.627	218.779	411.272	556.734	644.289	75.039	74.392	72.516	70.733	70.158	84.983	83.394	83.653	78.441	77.863	86.295	86.295	86.295	86.295	86.295	\vdash	\vdash	56.093	56.093	56.093	56.093	-	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	_	\dashv	56.093	56.093	203.165	213.835	224 578	4
t	5	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	5	5	S	5	2	S	S	S	S	S	5	S	5	5	S	5	2	2	5	S	5	5	5	2	5	10	11	12	
Ű	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2700	2800	2900	3000	3100	2000	2000	2000	
C [*]	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	18300	18400	18500	18600	19200	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	
ပ်	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	11800	11900	12000	12600	12900	20000	-	_			-	20000	-	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	
ပိ	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	00/9	7700	7900	8000	0006	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	-	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	100000	100000	100000	-	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	
ت	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1800	2200	2400	3700	3900	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	1500		1500	1500	1500	1500	1500	
M	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	11500	11600	11900	12200	12300	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000		10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000		10000		10000	10000			10000	10000	10000	10000	
z	2000000	2400000 10000	4400000 10000	2900000	0000089	2000000	2000000 11600 1500	2000000	2000000	2000000	2000000 10000 1800	2000000	2000000	2000000	2000000 10000 3900	2000000	2000000	2000000	2000000 10000	2000000 10000	2000000	2000000	2000000	2000000 10000	2000000 10000 1500	2000000	$\overline{}$	2000000	2000000 10000			2000000	2000000 10000	2000000 10000	2000000	2000000	2000000	2000000 110000 1500	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Table 2: Values of R_{E2} , λ_{11} , λ_{21} , μ_{11} , δ_{11} , μ_{21} , δ_{21} , λ_{32} , μ_{32} , δ_{32} for Varying values of one value of the following No, Mo, C_1 , C_2 , C_3 , C_4 , C_5 , t when other parameters are constants

\mathbf{M}_0	J 2		ر ر				R _{E2}	µ1010074	λ11005000	λ ₂₁	δ ₁₁	μ ₂₁	δ ₂₁	λ ₃₂	н ₃₂	δ ₃₂
200		150000 10000 15000 100000	20000	20000	2000	4 <	2.43E-12	1.0128/4	0.188599	0.325218	1.408405	1.27278	1.285118	1.308657	1.320963	3333308
50	3 8	8		20000	-	_	2.57E-12	1.037922	0.181945	0.322177	1.380163	1.27299	1.285328	1.308867	1.320754	333099
50	00	00		20000	1	4		1.057889	0.188106	0.321635	1.367000	1.27304	1.285379	1.308917	1.320704	333049
5(00(000		20000	2000	4	3.71E-12	1.111234	0.196796	0.294271	1.342069	1.26922	1.285559	1.308664	1.320956	1.333301
20	00	1000000 12000 15000 1000000	20000	20000	2000	4	2.22E-13	0.719593	0	0.330333	2.416038	1.726813	1.739151	1.707038	1.004646	1.016219
2()00(15000 1000000	20000	20000	2000	4	2.21E-13	0.719843	0	0.330083	2.416090	1.726839	1.739177	1.707071	1.004608	.016182
2(000	1000000 14000 15000 100000	20000	20000	2000	4	.50E-13	0.720097	0	0.329825	2.416148	1.726866	1.739204	1.707103	1.004571	.016144
5(000	1000000 15000 15000 100000	20000	20000	2000	4	2.32E-13	0.720345	0	0.329579	2.416200	1.726893	1.739231	1.707136	1.004533	.016106
2(000	1000000 17000 15000 100000	20000	20000	2000	4	2.23E-13	0.720844	0	0.329082	2.416306	1.726947	1.739285	1.707201	1.004457	.016031
_	000	11000 100000	20000	20000	2000	4	3.95E-12	1.234198	0.296411	0.185051	1.437599	1.279613	1.280825	1.308803	1.320818	.333163
(1)	000	12000 100000	20000	20000	2000	4	9.93E-13	0.759149	0	0.288784	1.477684	1.256640	1.268978	1.310926	1.318694	.331039
5	000	15000 100000	20000	20000	2000	4	2.32E-13	0.720345	0	0.329579	2.416200	1.726893	1.739231	1.707136	1.004533	.016106
9	000	1000000 15000 16000 100000	20000	20000	2000	4	1.99E-13	0.725045	0	0.308744	2.509700	1.765575	1.777913	1	.741843 0.971318	0.982892
7	000	17000 100000	20000	20000	2000	4	9.72E-12	1.01345	2.14E-06	5.18E-03	23.33575	1.500010	21.83574	1.308684	1.320936	.333281
5	15000	18000	20000	20000	2000	4	7.87E-13	0.720345	1.00E-06	0.329582	1.729747	1.383667	1.396006	1.380318	1.249303	.261648
S	15000	18500	20000	20000	2000	4	4.40E-13	0.720381	0	0.32951	1.793661	1.415607	1.427945	1.421507	1.208114	.220459
5	1000000 15000 15000	19000	20000	20000	2000	4	4.21E-13	0.720344	0	0.329578	1.824150	1.430867	1.443205 1.431459	1.431459	1.198161	.210506
5	15000	19500	20000	20000	2000	4	2.77E-13	0.720396	0	0.329481	2.143457	1.590498	1.602836	1.562705	1.066916	.079261
S	000	00	20000	20000	2000	4	8.12E-13	0.720713	2.78E-04	0.329464	1.469508	1.253534	1.265873	1.330759	1.298861	.311206
ان	000	00	10500	20000	2000	4	-	0.876923	0	0.167242	1.744070	1.352726		435510 1.330979	1.299142	1.001382
4 1	1000000 15000 15000 1000	8	11500	20000	2000	4	2.35E-13	0.86201	0	0.187915	3.183191	2.110389	2.122727	2.095699 0.535862		0.546267
4 4	15000 1000	-	12500	20000	2000	4	4.64E-13	0.845344	0	0.204571	2.121949	1.579763	1.592101	1.567729	1.062391	.074236
4 1	2000	15000 100000	15500	20000	2000	4	3.63E-13	0.795353	0	0.254563	2.299204	1.668391	1.680729	1.680729 1.654002 0.976390		0.987964
4 1	15000 1000)00(16500	20000	2000	4	2.77E-13	0.778676	0	0.271249	2.343534	1.690560	1.702899	1.654135 0.976257	-	0.987831
43	1000000 15000 15000 1000)00(20000	15000	2000	4	1.80E-12	0.85196	0	0.197956	1.542635	1.290107	1.302445	1.302445 1.308052	1.321569	1.333914
4 4	15000 1000		20000	15400	2000	4	1.16E-12	0.884958	1.68E-05	0.156753	1.541508	1.285432	1.297771	1.336983	1.292638	.039068
4 1	2000	000	20000	15600	2000	4	1.23E-12	0.885092	1.42E-05	0.156658	1.541182	1.285289	1.297628	1.332723	1.296897	.035336
2.1	$\lfloor 0000000 \rfloor 15000 \lfloor 15000 \rfloor 1000$	00	20000	16200	2000	4	2.76E-13	0.726772	5.99E-05	0.299884	2.123640	1.568949	1.581287	1.546764	1.082857	.095202
4 1	$\lfloor 0000000 \rfloor 15000 \lfloor 15000 \rfloor 1000$	00	20000	16800	2000	4	7.47E-13	0.819101	2.41E-05	0.214394	1.563204	1.327006	1.269668	1.364981	1.264639	.073646
- 4	15000 1000	00	20000	20000	2500	4	2.32E-13	0.720345	0	0.329579	2.416200	1.726893	1.739231	1.707136	1.004533	.016106
- 4	15000 100C	00	20000	20000	6000	4	1.47E-12	0.919801	0	0.130126	1.525676	1.318193	1.257409	1.365074	1.264547	.064045
4 4	15000 1000	00(20000	20000	6300	4	5.92E-12	0.872884	0	0.177042	1.452350	1.244969	1.257308	1.365093	1.264527	.065543
4 1	1000000 15000 15000 1000)00	20000	20000	6500	4	2.04E-12	0.871963	0	0.177963	1.520323	1.312545	1.257704	1.365108	1.264513	1.066756
4,	15000 1000	000	20000	20000	00/9	4	4.69E-12	0.870705	0	0.179221	1.454775	1.246181	1.258520	1.365123	1.264497	.068185
4,	1000000 15000 15000 1000	90	20000	20000	2000	2.2	1.43E-13	1.414743	8.52E-02	0.579418	3.550334	4.154235	1.305056	4.544316 0.612441	Н.	4.77E-02
4 1	$\lfloor 0000000 \rfloor 15000 \lfloor 15000 \rfloor 1000$	00	20000	20000	2000	2.6	1.51E-12	1.371976	0.236458	0.465993	1.103336	1.346254	1.358593	1.385282	1.244338	1.256683
2 1	2000	000000 15000 15000 100000	20000	20000	2000	3	2.27E-12	1.269788	0.17297	0.276614	1.280628	1.286652		1.367408 1.309467	1.320154	.332499
4 1	15000 1000		20000	20000	2000	3.2	2.31E-12	1.230368	1.230368 0.221546	0.302896	1.270914	1.285144	1.297489	1.308645	1.320975	1.33332
S	000	000	20000	20000	2000	3.4	1.98E-12	1.145377	0.217539	0.30722	1.325921	1.268723	1.292256	.292256 1.308683	1.320938	1.333283

Appendix-III Table 3: Values of $R_{E_1}^*$, λ_{11} , λ_{21} , μ_{11} , δ_{11} , μ_{21} , δ_{21} , λ_{32} , μ_{32} , δ_{32} for Varying values of one value of the following No, Mo, C₁, C₂, C₃, C₄, C₅, t when other parameters are constants

δ_{32}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
μ_{32}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
λ_{32}	609064.4	509065.3	5004174	642871.7	21183500	2697283	597321.9	1443713	3644842	2673957	2098993	799580.1	1354203	1239996	1309450	3619308	4875382	461584.1	463603.8	1162361	509065.3	733871	693259.3	1699872	1699872	1094147	52604363	3290099	5599786	1530907	8663331	423106.1	706507.2	2131713	1402979	1095885	1963122	3507366	814438.3	1299538
δ_{21}	605033	498454.9	4976752	643117.4	0.389091	2713226	597671.5	0.84015	3598775	2660719	2085701	782740.7	1343994	1216518	1299058	3564740	4759160	461565.5	454049.9	1148322	498454.9	734442.1	680784.2	1661188	1661188	1.53954	4030890	3301521	0.041668	3.857991	2390568	423103.6	706626.5	2140049	5369617	0.347921	495075.4	0.089082	0.097025	0.010517
μ ₂₁	609279.3	509373.4	4976753	643117.1	0.737548	2713226	597671.7	5240339	21.04845	2660720	2099790	800057.6	1354633	1240420	1309450	0.202456	4820638	461579.4	463534.4	1162867	509373.4	733957.1	693288.6	1698525	1698525	1087999	670476.8	3303077	0.95181	1517837	0.224227	423121.6	706626.5	2140046	68.88999	1093251	0.841872	3425514	3231721	1300108
δ_{11}	1211127	999638.5	9866534	1268591	0	5287489	1177038	5254613	3637327	5265390	4174923	1569810	2690647	2439011	2600714	3617848	9533674	917652.9	910470.2	2300279	999638.5	1445398	1364695	3331710	3331710	1089898	4682985	6510144	0	1523368	2468722	835005.8	1393735	4155987	5426272	1094620	495074.8	3463125	3231719	1300107
m ₁₁	1.0236	1.0499	1.2626	1.8967	2.1168	1.2356	1.2356	1.0499	1.2356	1.2356	1.1776	1.1641	1.1513	1.1391	1.1275	1.2356	1.2356	1.2356	1.2356	1.2356	1.0499	1.2356	1.2356	1.0499	1.0499	1.0855	1.1436	1.0499	1.4132	1.4488	1.0499	1.2356	1.2356	1.0499	1.0499	1.7772	1.8170	0.9722	0.9374	9996:0
\searrow	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
\ \ !!	0.0000	0.0000	0.2222	0.0000	0.2376	0.2184	0.2184	0.0000	0.2892	0.2184	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.1878	0.1874	0.1870	0.1865	0.1857	0.0000	0.2184	0.2184	0.0000	0.0000	0.0238	0.0791	0.0000	0.3707	0.4046	0.0000	0.1857	0.2184	0.0000	0.0000	0.0274	0.2730	0.0000	0.0000	0.1706
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathrm{El}}^{*}$	32.16829	37.39539	42.68605	64.3578	90628.69	56.09311	46.74423	43.14854	35.05819	31.16284	44.14736	43.5187	42.90521	42.30568	41.71905	37.39541	37.39541	37.39539	37.39539	37.3954	37.39539	37.39539	37.39539	37.3954	37.3954	37.39539	37.39541	37.39541	37.3954	37.3954	37.39541	37.39539	37.39539	37.3954	37.3954	49.28016	46.93586	34.94492	33.70467	28.604
+	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	S	5	5	5	5	5	S	5	5	S	S	5	S	5	5	S	5	5	5	5	5	S	S	5	S	S	5	S	3.0	3.4	5.4	5.6	6.4
В	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	0.8	8.0	0.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	0.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	0.8	0.8	8.0	0.8	8.0	8.0	0.8	0.8	8.0	0.8	8.0	0.8	0.8	8.0	0.8	0.8
ن	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	1700	1800	2100	2200	2400	2000	2000	_	2000	2000
C ₂	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	16000	17000	22000	25000	26000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000
ပီ	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20100	20800	23000	26000	27000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000
C_2	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	19900	20000	20100	20200	20400	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000	25000
C_1	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	0006	9500	10000	10500	11000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000
\mathbf{M}_{o}	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	10000	12000	13000	16000	18000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	ш	15000	15000
\mathbf{Z}°	000006	1000000	1100000	1500000	1600000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000

Appendix-IV Table 4: Values of $R_{E_2}^*$, λ_{11} , λ_{21} , μ_{11} , δ_{11} , μ_{21} , δ_{21} , λ_{32} , μ_{32} , δ_{32} for Varying values of one value of the following No, Mo, C₁, C₂, C₃, C₄, C₅, t when other parameters are constants

_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
δ_{32}	1.4352	1.3346	1.1247	1.4342	1.4341	1.4341	1.4341	1.4341	1.4341	1.4345	1.4298	1.4341	1.4342	1.4345	1.4344	1.4306	1.4323	1.4305	1.4305	1.4305	0.2705	1.0352	0.2835	0.1135	0.2886	1.4137	1.4259	1.4303	1.4328	1.4320	1.6483	1.4383	0.4701	0.4800	1.2411	0.8978	0.8798	1.6529	1.0230	0.8665
µ ₃₂	1.4105	1.3099	1.1490	1.4095	1.4094	1.4094	1.4094	1.4094	1.4094	1.4098	1.4051	1.4094	1.4095	1.4099	1.4098	1.4059	1.4076	1.4058	1.4058	1.4058	1.0971	0.7124	0.2786	0.1086	0.2836	1.3890	1.4012	1.4056	1.4081	1.4074	1.4189	1.4137	0.4596	0.4694	1.2164	0.8940	0.8551	1.6480	0.8422	0.2129
λ_{32}	1.3845	1.4852	1.6461	1.3855	1.3856	1.3856	1.3856	1.3856	1.3856	1.3852	1.3899	1.3856	1.3855	1.3852	1.3852	1.3891	1.3874	1.3893	1.3893	1.3893	27.8915	2.2967	1.4769	4.3662	1.3906	1.4060	1.3939	1.3894	1.3869	1.3877	1.3762	1.3814	2.3499	2.3400	1.5786	4.6329	5.4597	0.3241	0.3449	0.3501
δ_{21}	1.0916	1.1405	2.0758	1.3292	1.3505	1.3504	1.3504	1.3503	1.3503	1.3543	1.3770	1.4033	1.3375	1.3219	1.2978	1.3828	1.3731	1.3731	1.3727	1.3727	1.1637	1.8848	1.3494	1.3494	1.3180	1.3976	1.3874	1.3830	1.3773	1.3728	1.3227	1.3411	4.4509	4.5243	63.1579	4.8240	1.5864	0.2327	2.1647	2.7213
µ ₂₁	1.3372	1.3358	1.3358	1.3358	1.3358	1.3358	1.3358	1.3358	1.3358	1.3358	1.3523	1.3358	1.3358	1.3358	1.3358	1.3581	1.3498	1.3421	1.3421	1.3421	1.1764	0.7743	1.0020	4.4370	1.0171	1.3729	1.3627	1.3583	1.3526	1.3490	1.3935	1.2920	1.5643	1.5643	1.8054	1.5645	1.3116	0.6326	1.0861	1.4835
δ	1.3344	1.3075	2.1476	1.3118	1.3030	1.3030	1.3030	1.3030	1.3030	1.3026	1.2925	1.3030	1.3029	1.3026	1.3027	1.2933	1.2997	1.3093	1.3093	1.3093	0.8051	1.3551	0.8163	4.2613	0.8001	1.2865	1.2844	1.2933	1.2965	1.3023	1.2802	1.0980	4.4802	4.5536	63.4283	4.5465	1.6698		2.2591	3.3709
λ_{21}	0.2493	0.3890	0.3653	0.4198	0.4129	0.4125	0.4120	0.4116	0.4112	0.4218	0.4705	0.4863	0.3967	0.3808	0.3485	0.4633	0.4507	0.4493	0.4488	0.4488	0.1967	0.1262	0.2748	0.3178	0.4633	0.5016	0.4894	0.4665	0.4556	0.4496	0.5551	0.6104	0.4881	0.4870	0.6115	0.5917	0.2527	0.0109	0.0984	0.2260
ζ"	0.2108	0.2189	0.0847	0.2100	\neg	0.1997	0.1995	0.1994	0.1992	0.2115	0.2577	0.2468	0.1626	0.1161	0.0526	0.2450	0.2557	0.2659	0.2647	0.2647	0.0045	0.0135	0.0312	0.0198	0.2371	0.2241	0.2313	0.2363	0.2473	0.2574	0.4688	0.4071	0.1564	0.1553	0.3547	0.2000	0.1980	0.0945	0.0379	0.0482
Ч	1.0560	1866.0	0.9833	1.1433	1.1703	1.1705	1.1707	1.1709	1.1711	1.1772	1.2240	1.1965	1.1363	1.0904	1.0350	1.2293	1.2282	1.2226	1.2215	1.2215	1.3429	1.1914	1.2914	1.2271	1.3088	1.2066	1.2077	1.2178	1.2251	1.2273	1.3897	1.3317	1.2034	1.2033	1.2782	1.4503	1.1734	1.1514	0.9312	0.6561
$R_{\rm E2}^*$	1.14E-12	5.67E-13	2.61E-13	1.59E-12	1.80E-12	1.83E-12	1.84E-12	1.85E-12	1.88E-12	1.89E-12	2.03E-12	2.03E-12	1.85E-12	1.66E-12	1.49E-12	4.11E-12	2.00E-12	1.86E-12	1.89E-12	3.57E-12	1.65E-13	1.08E-12	1.08E-12	2.08E-13	7.17E-13	1.98E-12	2.07E-12	2.05E-12	2.07E-12	1.97E-12	4.17E-12	3.81E-12	2.25E-13	2.24E-13	2.26E-14	2.18E-13	2.23E-13	1.53E-13	2.26E-23	9.80E-24
+	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2.5	3.4	3.8	4.0	8.4
В	8.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	8.0	8.0	0.8	8.0	8.0	0.8	0.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	8.0	0.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
င်	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	18000	20000	21000	22000	23000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
$\mathcal{O}_{_{2}}$	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	15000	16000	17000	18000	19000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000
ర్	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	0009	8000	0006	10000	14000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000	20000
C_2	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	13000	14000	15000	16000	19000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	100000	\vdash
ار	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	15000	12000 15000	15000	15000	15000	10000 10000	13000	16000	17000	18000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	100001	10000	10000	10000	8	10000	10000	10000	ш	10000	10000	10000	<u> </u>	10000	10000	10000	10000		10000	╙
\mathbf{M}_{0}	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	11000	12000	13000	14000	16000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000 1000	10000	10000	10000	10000 1000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000 100	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000
\mathbf{z}°	400000	500000	800000	000006	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000	[1000000 10000 10000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000 10000 10000	1000000 10000	1000000	1000000	1000000	1000000 10000 10000	1000000	1000000 10000 10000

REFERENCES

- [1] Christopher J. Portier, Annette Kopp-Shneider, Claire D. Sherman, Calculating tumor incidence rates in Stochastic model of carcinogenesis, Mathematical Biosciences, **135**, 129-146 (1996).
- [2] Quinn, D.W., The method of Characteristics applied to a stochastic two-stage model of carcinogenesis, Mathl. Comput. Modelling, **25**(7), 1-13 (1997).
- [3] David G. Kendall, Birth and death processes, and the theory of carcinogenesis, Biometrika, **47(1)**, 13-21 (1960).
- [4] Iyer, K.S.S., Saksena, V. N., A Stochastic Model for the growth of cells in cancer, Biometrics, **6(3)**, 401-410 (1970).
- [5] Lance A. Liotta, Gerald M. Saidel, Jerome Kleinerman, Stochastic model for metastases formation, Biometrics, **32(3)**, 535-550 (1976).
- [6] Madhavi, K., Tirupathi Rao, P, Reddy, P.R.S., Optimal Drug administration for cancer chemotherapy through Stochastic Programming, American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, **2(1)**, 37-45 (2013).
- [7] Srinivasan, S.K., Ranganathan, C.R., An Age-dependent stochastic model for carcinogenesis, Mathematical Biosciences, **57**,155-174 (1981).
- [8] Pinho, S.T.R., A chemotherapy model for the treatment of cancer with metastasis, Mathematical and computer modelling, **36**, 773-803 (2002).
- [9] Tirupathi Rao Padi, Jayabharathiraj. J., Naveen Kumar, B.N., Lakshmi Usha, C., Rajasekhara Reddy, P., Stochastic Modelling of Tumor Growth within Organ during chemotherapy using Bivariate Birth, Death and Migration Processes, IOSR Journal of Mathematics, **10**(3), 01-08 (2014).
- [10] Tirupathi Rao Padi, Jayabharathiraj. J., Naveen Kumar, B.N., Lakshmi Usha, C., Rajasekhara Reddy, P., Stochastic Modeling for Tumor Growth within organ through Bivariate Birth, Death and Migration Processes, Journal of International Academic Research for Multidisciplinary, **2(5)**, 205-217 (2014).
- [11] Tirupathi Rao, P., Flora Evangil, D., Madhavi, K., Stochastic Programming on Optimal Drug Administration for Two stage Cancer treatment problems, International Journal of Green Computing, **3(1)**, 1-10 (2012).
- [12] Tirupathi Rao. P., Srinivasa Rao. K., Padmalatha. K., Stochastic Models for Optimal Drug Administration in Cancer chemotherapy, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, **2(5)**, 859-865 (2010).