

On The Generalized Divided Differences

SUBHASIS RAY* AND SUBHANKAR GHOSH

Department of Mathematics, Siksha Bhavan, Visva-Bharati, Shantiniketan, West Bengal, India

*Email: subhasis.ray@visva-bharati.ac.in

Received: February 06, 2016| Revised: March 19, 2016| Accepted: July 02, 2016

Published online: September 05, 2016

The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at www.chitkara.edu.in/publications

Abstract Let $V \subset \mathfrak{R}$ be a finite set and $f : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$. $f(V)$ is divided difference of f at the points of V . The m -th order Peano derivative of $f(\{x\} \cup V)$ with respect to x is called generalized divided difference and is denoted by $f_m(x, V)$. The properties of $f_m(x, V)$ are studied.

Keywords: Peano derivative, Divided difference, Riemann* derivative, n -convex function.

MSC 2010: Primary 26A24, 26A99

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f_m(x, V)$ be m -th order Peano derivative of $f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x)$ regarded as a function of x . In [1] the authors have termed the iterated limit of divided difference by generalized divided difference and use it to study the properties of n -convex functions. In this article we have studied the properties of that generalized divided difference, which is equivalent to $f_m(x, V)$.

Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$, $V = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset E$, then the divided difference of f at V is defined by

$$f(V) = f(x_0, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{f(x_i)}{\omega'(x_i)}$$

where

$$\omega(x) = \prod_{i=0}^n (x - x_i).$$

Mathematical Journal of
Interdisciplinary Sciences
Vol-5, No-1,
September 2016
pp. 53–59

Ray, S
 Ghosh, S

We write $f(x; V)$ instead of $f(V \cup \{x\})$.

Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then f is n -convex in E if for each subset V of E containing $n+1$ points, $f(V) \geq 0$.

Let $x \in E - V$ be right hand limit point of E , then right Peano derivative of divided differences with respect to the set E is defined inductively as

$$f_1^+(x; V) = \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x^+ \\ y \in E}} \frac{f(y; V) - f(x; V)}{(y - x)}$$

and if $f_r^+(x; V)$ exist for $1 \leq r < m$, then m -th order derivative

$$f_m^+(x; V) = \lim_{\substack{y \rightarrow x^+ \\ y \in E}} \gamma_m(f, x, y, V)$$

where

$$\gamma_m(f, x, y, V) = \frac{m!}{(y - x)^m} \left\{ f(y; V) - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{(y - x)^i}{i!} f_i^+(x; V) \right\}.$$

Here we assume $f_0^+(x; V) = f(x; V)$.

If $V = \varphi$, then we write $\gamma_m(f, x, y)$ instead of $\gamma_m(f, x, y, \varphi)$. In this case $f_m^+(x; V)$ is the usual right hand Peano derivative of f at x of order m and is denoted by $f_m^+(x)$. If $x \in E - V$ be a left hand limit point, we define left hand Peano derivative of divided difference $f_m^-(x; V)$ in similar way. If x is both sided limit point and $f_m^+(x; V)$ and $f_m^-(x; V)$ both exist and $f_i^+(x; V) = f_i^-(x; V)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then f is said to have the m -th order Peano derivative of divided difference $f_m(x; V)$. Clearly for a fixed $V \subset E$, $f_m(x; V)$ is the m -th order Peano derivative of the function $f(x; V)$, regarded as a function of x . Hence for $x \notin V$, $f_m(x; V)$ exists if and only if $f_m(x)$ exists.

Let $f: E \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ and $V \subset E$ be finite and $x \in E - V$ be a limit point of E . Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ be k distinct points in $E - V$. Then as in [1]

$$[f, x, V]^k = \lim_{\substack{x_k \rightarrow x \\ x_k \in E}} \dots \lim_{\substack{x_1 \rightarrow x \\ x_1 \in E}} f(x, x_1, \dots, x_k; V).$$

If $V = \varphi$, then $[f, x]^k$ is called k -th order Riemann* derivative of f at x . In what follows from now we shall drop $y \in E$ under the limit notation.

2. PROPERTIES OF $f_n(x;V)$

Theorem 2.1. Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be continuous, $V \subset E$ be finite set and $x \in E - V$ be right limit point of E . Now

$$\lim_{x_n \rightarrow x^+}, \dots, \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow x^+} n! f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; V)$$

exists if and only if $f_m^+(x;V)$ exists and they are equal.

Proof. We prove this by induction, for $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x^+} \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow x^+} f(x_0, x_1; V) &= \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x^+} f(x, x_1; V) \\ &= \lim_{x_1 \rightarrow x^+} \frac{f(x; V) - f(x_1; V)}{x - x_1} \\ &= f_1^+(x; V). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose the theorem is true for $n = k$, $k \geq 1$. We prove the theorem for $n = k + 1$ and so the proof will be completed by induction.

So

$$\lim_{x_r \rightarrow x^+} \dots \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow x^+} r! f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r; V)$$

and $f_r^+(x;V)$ exists with equal value for $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

Now,

$$\lim_{x_{r+1} \rightarrow x^+} \dots \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow x^+} (r+1)! f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r+1}; V) = \lim_{x_{r+1} \rightarrow x^+} (r+1) f_r^+(x; V \cup \{x_{r+1}\}) \quad (1)$$

As in Newton divided difference interpolation formula, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f(u; V) &= f(x_0; V) + (u - x_0) f(x_0, x_1; V) + \dots \\ &\quad + (u - x_0) \dots (u - x_{r-1}) f(x_0, \dots, x_r; V) \\ &\quad + (u - x_0) \dots (u - x_r) f(u, x_0, \dots, x_r; V). \end{aligned}$$

Now performing the limit $\lim_{x_r \rightarrow x^+} \dots \lim_{x_0 \rightarrow x^+}$ on both sides we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(u; V) &= f(x; V) + (u - x) f_1^+(x; V) + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + \frac{(u - x)^r}{r!} f_r^+(x; V) + \frac{(u - x)^{r+1}}{r!} f_r^+(x; V \cup \{u\}). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(r+1)f_r^+(x;V \cup \{u\}) = \frac{f(u;V) - f^+(x;V) - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{(u-x)^i}{i!} f_i^+(x;V)}{\frac{(u-x)^{r+1}}{(r+1)!}}$$

Putting $u = x_{r+1}$ and using (1) the proof follows

Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.1 also hold for left hand derivative. And for $V = \varphi$, it gives the well known result that Peano derivative and Riemann* derivative are equivalent.

Remark 2.3. By Theorem 2.1 $f_n^+(x;V)$ is same as $[f, x, V]^{(n,+)}$ in [1]

Theorem 2.4. Suppose $f: E \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ and $V \subset E$ be finite set and $x \in E - V$. Then $f_k(x;V)$ is divided difference of $f_k(x; \{t\})$ as a function of t .

Proof. As we define $f_n(x;V)$ is same as $[f, x, V]^{(n)}$ of [1]. So by Corollary 3.2 of [1] the result follows.

Lemma 2.5. If $f_k(x;V)$ exists and if $w \in V$. Then

$$f_k(x;V) = \frac{1}{w-x} \{kf_{k-1}(x;V) - f_k(x;V - \{w\})\}.$$

Proof. We prove this by induction, for $k=1$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x;V) &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} f(x, y; V) \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{f(x;V) - f(x, y; V - \{w\})}{w-x} \\ &= \frac{f(x;V) - f_1(x;V - \{w\})}{w-x} \end{aligned}$$

So suppose, $f_i(x;V) = \frac{1}{w-x} \{if_{i-1}(x;V) - f_i(x;V - \{w\})\}$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, k-1$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} f_k(x;V) &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{f(y;V) - f(x;V) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(y-x)^i}{i!} f_i(x;V)}{(y-x)^k} \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{(y-x)f(x, y; V) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(y-x)^i}{i!} if_{i-1}(x;V) - f_i(x;V - \{w\})}{(y-x)^k} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(y-x)}{(w-x)} \{f(y;V) - f(y,x;V - \{w\})\} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(y-x)^i}{(i-1)!} \frac{f_{i-1}(x;V)}{w-x} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(y-x)^i}{i!} \frac{f_i(x;V - \{x\})}{w-x} \\
&= \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\frac{(y-x)^k}{k!}}{f(y;V) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(y-x)^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} f_{i-1}(x;V) - \frac{(y-x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} f_{k-1}(x;V - \{w\}) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(y-x)^i}{(i)!} f_i(x;V - \{x\})} \\
&= \frac{1}{w-x} \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{[kf_{k-1}(x;V) - f_k(x;V - \{w\}) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(y-x)^i}{(i)!} f_i(x;V - \{x\})]}{(y-x)^k} \\
&= \frac{1}{w-x} [kf_{k-1}(x;V) - f_k(x;V - \{w\})] \\
&= \frac{1}{w-x} [kf_{k-1}(x;V) - f_k(x;V - \{w\})]
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete by induction.

Theorem 2.6. Let V be a finite set and $x_i \in V$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Suppose $f : E \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ be such that f_1 exists on E . Then divided difference of $f_1(x;V - \{x_0, \dots, x_n\})$ at the points x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n , is

$$f_1(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; V - \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_1(x_i; V - \{x_i\})$$

Proof. We prove it by induction. Suppose $n=1$ and by Lemma 2.5 we get

Ray, S
Ghosh, S

$$f_1(x_0; V - \{x_0\}) = \frac{1}{x_1 - x_0} \{f(x_0; V - \{x_0\}) - f_1(x_0; V - \{x_0, x_1\})\}$$

$$f_1(x_1; V - \{x_1\}) = \frac{1}{x_0 - x_1} \{f(x_1; V - \{x_1\}) - f_1(x_1; V - \{x_0, x_1\})\}$$

Since $f(x_0; V - \{x_0\}) = f(x_1; V - \{x_1\})$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_0; V - \{x_0\}) + f_1(x_1; V - \{x_1\}) &= \frac{f_1(x_0; V - \{x_0, x_1\}) - f_1(x_1; V - \{x_0, x_1\})}{x_0 - x_1} \\ &= f_1(x_0, x_1; V - \{x_0, x_1\}) \end{aligned}$$

So suppose it is true for $(n-1), n \geq 2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &f_1(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; V - \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}) \\ &= \frac{f_1(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}; V - \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\}) - f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n; V - \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\})}{x_0 - x_n} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_1(x_i; V - \{x_i, x_n\}) - \sum_{i=1}^n f_1(x_i; V - \{x_i, x_0\})}{x_0 - x_n} \\ &= \frac{f_1(x_0; V - \{x_0, x_n\}) - f_1(x_n; V - \{x_0, x_n\})}{x_0 - x_n} \\ &+ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \{f_1(x_i; V - \{x_i, x_n\}) - f_1(x_i; V - \{x_i, x_0\})\}}{x_0 - x_n} \\ &= f_1(x_0, x_n; V - \{x_0, x_n\}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_1(x_i; V - \{x_i\}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n f_1(x_i; V - \{x_i\}). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.7. If f is $(n+1)$ convex on E , f_1 exists on E ; then f_1 is n convex on E .

Proof. Suppose f is $(n+1)$ convex then for any finite set

$V = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset E$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_0; \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}) &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) - f(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)}{(y - x_0)} \\ &= \lim_{y \rightarrow x_0} f(y, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $f_1(x_i; V - \{x_i\}) \geq 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Hence from Theorem 2.6

$$f_1(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq 0.$$

Therefore f_1 is n convex on E .

REFERENCES

- [1] Fejzic H, Svetic R E and Weil C E,; Differentiation of n -convex functions. *Fund. Math* 209 **Vol-1 9–25** (2010) zbl 1202.26008.
 - [2] S. N. Mukhopadhyay and S. Ray,; Mean value Theorems for divided differences and approximate Peano derivatives. *Mathematica Bohemica* 134 **Vol-2** (2009), 165–171, zbl 1212.26075.
-